




Health Care For All?

Profits — not politics — drive performance. Health Care tends to be a lightning rod for political criticism due to the eternal debate of whether companies should be maximizing profits at the expense of sick people. At RBA, our job is not to focus on what *should be*, but instead to focus on what *will be* and what that ultimately means for investment returns. The key determinant of that is profits.

We were asked yesterday whether the growing discussion around “Medicare For All” changes our view on Health Care stocks. The email went on to reference the underperformance of Health Care stocks during the Clinton Health Care Reform proposals in 1993. While the potential for policy changes did weigh on Health Care stocks immediately after Bill Clinton was elected president, this was only part of the story. The reality is that Health Care stocks were underperforming well before the 1992 election, and for reasons that had little to do with politics.

There were two distinct periods of Health Care underperformance during the 1990s: 1992-1993 and 1999 (see chart). It is no coincidence that in both periods, profit growth was rapidly recovering (following the early 1990s recession and the Asian & Russian Financial Crises). As profit growth accelerates, defensive sectors such as Health Care tend to underperform while cyclical sectors tend to outperform. That is not the story today. Profit growth is slowing and that has historically been a great time to own Health Care stocks. Another key difference is valuation: in 1992, the sector traded at a premium to the market, whereas it now trades at a discount. Ultimately, the summer of 1993 turned out to be one of the best buying opportunities ever for the sector (it went on to outperform the S&P 500® by over 200 percentage points over the next nine years).

Relative performance of S&P Health Care sector vs. S&P 500® (1990-2000)



Source: Richard Bernstein Advisors LLC, Bloomberg, Standard & Poor's

This is not to say that this won't be an issue that gets increasing focus as the election season nears, but the recent market reaction seems a bit disproportionate to us relative to the likelihood of a meaningful drag on Health Care profits. The analogy with Clinton's Health Care proposal assumes that Bernie Sanders (or like-minded candidate) wins the election, which is currently not the most likely scenario. Even if he were to win, Medicare For All is certainly not a foregone conclusion, particularly against the backdrop of heightened political polarization, a ballooning deficit and looming entitlement overhang. In the end, the Clinton proposal eventually died in Congress, and thus had little impact on profits.

Dan Suzuki, CFA
Portfolio Strategist

Please feel free to call your regional portfolio specialist with any questions:

Phone: 212 692 4088

Email: marketing@rbadvisors.com

For more information About Dan Suzuki, please click [here](#).

Recent & Related articles:

[A long December](#)

[Why is corporate profit growth slowing?](#)

[Look forward, not backward](#)

[S&P 500® for the long run?](#)

[Sometimes defense is the best offense](#)

Dan Suzuki is registered with Foreside Fund Services, LLC which is not affiliated with Richard Bernstein Advisors LLC or its affiliates.

Nothing contained herein constitutes tax, legal, insurance or investment advice, or the recommendation of or an offer to sell, or the solicitation of an offer to buy or invest in any investment product, vehicle, service or instrument. Such an offer or solicitation may only be made by delivery to a prospective investor of formal offering materials, including subscription or account documents or forms, which include detailed discussions of the terms of the respective product, vehicle, service or instrument, including the principal risk factors that might impact such a purchase or investment, and which should be reviewed carefully by any such investor before making the decision to invest. RBA information may include statements concerning financial market trends and/or individual stocks, and are based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons. Historic market trends are not reliable indicators of actual future market behavior or future performance of any particular investment which may differ materially, and should not be relied upon as such. The investment strategy and broad themes discussed herein may be unsuitable for investors depending on their specific investment objectives and financial situation. Information contained in the material has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but not guaranteed. You should note that the materials are provided "as is" without any express or implied warranties. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results. All investments involve a degree of risk, including the risk of loss. No part of RBA's materials may be reproduced in any form, or referred to in any other publication, without express written permission from RBA. Links to appearances and articles by Richard Bernstein, whether in the press, on television or otherwise, are provided for informational purposes only and in no way should be considered a recommendation of any particular investment product, vehicle, service or instrument or the rendering of investment advice, which must always be evaluated by a prospective investor in consultation with his or her own financial adviser and in light of his or her own circumstances, including the investor's investment horizon, appetite for risk, and ability to withstand a potential loss of some or all of an investment's value. Investing is subject to market risks. Investors acknowledge and accept the potential loss of some or all of an investment's value. Views represented are subject to change at the sole discretion of Richard Bernstein Advisors LLC. Richard Bernstein Advisors LLC does not undertake to advise you of any changes in the views expressed herein.